



Independent Assurance Report

To the Directors of Alpine Energy Limited and to the Commerce Commission on the disclosure information for the disclosure year ended 31 March 2022 as required by the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 (Consolidated 9 December 2021)

Alpine Energy Limited (“the Company”) is required to disclose certain information under the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 (consolidated 9 December 2021) (the Determination) and to procure an assurance report by an independent auditor in terms of section 2.8.1 of the Determination and section 2.8.6 and 2.8.7 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 (consolidated 6 July 2023) (the Current Determination).

The Auditor-General is the auditor of the Company.

The Auditor-General has appointed me, Elizabeth Adriana (Adri) Smit, using the staff and resources of PricewaterhouseCoopers, to undertake a reasonable assurance engagement, on his behalf, on whether the information prepared by the Company for the disclosure year ended 31 March 2022 (the Disclosure Information) complies, in all material respects, with the Determination.

The Disclosure Information that falls within the scope of the assurance engagement is:

- Schedules 1 to 4, 5a to 5g, 6a and 6b, 7, 10 and 14 (limited to the explanatory notes in boxes 1 to 11) of the Determination;
- the disclosures of errors in previously disclosed information (refer to box 15 of Schedule 14 and the Appendix B).; and
- Clause 2.3.6 of the Determination and clauses 2.2.11(1)(g) and 2.2.11(5) of the Electricity Distribution Services Input Methodologies Determination 2012 (consolidated 20 May 2020) (the IM Determination), in respect of the basis for valuation of related party transactions (the Related Party Transaction Information).

This assurance report should be read in conjunction with the Commerce Commission’s Information Disclosure exemption, issued to all electricity distribution businesses on 17 May 2021 under clause 2.11.1 of the Determination. The Commerce Commission granted an exemption from the requirement that the assurance report, in respect of the information in Schedule 10 of the Determination, must take into account any issues arising out of the Company’s recording of SAIDI, SAIFI, and number of interruptions due to successive interruptions.

Qualified Opinion

In our opinion, except for the possible effect of the matter described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion section of our report, in all material respects:

- as far as appears from an examination, proper records to enable the complete and accurate compilation of the Disclosure Information have been kept by the Company;
- as far as appears from an examination, the information used in the preparation of the Disclosure Information has been properly extracted from the Company’s accounting and other records, sourced from the Company’s financial and non-financial systems;
- the Disclosure Information complies, in all material respects, with the Determination; and
- the basis for valuation of related party transactions complies with the Determination and the IM Determination.

Basis for Qualified opinion

As described in Box 1 of Schedule 15, there are inherent limitations in the ability of the Company to collect and record the network reliability information specifically the interconnection points (‘ICP’s’) affected by an interruption and the duration of the interruption used in calculating the amounts required to be disclosed in the Schedules 10(i) to 10(iv). Consequently, there is no independent evidence available to support the completeness and accuracy of recorded faults, and control over the completeness and accuracy of interconnection point (‘ICP’) data included in the SAIDI and SAIFI calculations was limited throughout the year.



There are no practical audit procedures that we could adopt to independently confirm that all the faults and ICP data were properly recorded for the purposes of inclusion in the amounts relating to quality measures set out in Schedules 10(i) to 10(iv).

Because of the potential effect of these limitations, we are unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to confirm the completeness and accuracy of the data that forms the basis of the compilation of Schedules 10(i) to 10(iv).

We conducted our engagement in accordance with the Standard on Assurance Engagements (SAE) 3100 (Revised) *Assurance Engagements on Compliance*, issued by the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. An engagement conducted in accordance with SAE 3100 (Revised) requires that we comply with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (New Zealand) 3000 (Revised) *Assurance Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information*.

We have obtained sufficient recorded evidence and explanations that we required to provide a basis for our qualified opinion.

Emphasis of Matter - Amendment to previously disclosed information

As described in box 15 of Schedule 14, in preparing the 2023 Disclosure Information, the Company identified material errors in the previously disclosed Disclosure Information. In line with clause 2.12.1 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 (consolidated 6 July 2023) (the Current Determination), the Company has restated the 2022 Disclosure Information to correct the material prior period error including revising the indirectly affected data and statements.

Without further modifying our opinion, we draw attention to:

- the fact that this assurance report replaces the assurance report dated 31 August 2022; and
- Box 15 of Schedule 14 and Appendix B: *Impact of restatements* which outlines the errors identified and how the correction of these errors impacts the 2022 Disclosure Information

Key Assurance Matters

Key assurance matters are those matters that, in our professional judgement, required significant attention when carrying out the assurance engagement during the current disclosure year. These matters were addressed in the context of our compliance engagement, and in forming our opinion. We do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.

Key Assurance Matter	How our procedures addressed the key assurance matter
<p>Regulatory asset base The Regulatory Asset Base (RAB), as set out in Schedule 4, reflects the value of Alpine Energy Limited’s electricity distribution assets. These are valued using an indexed historic cost methodology prescribed by the Determination. It is a measure which is used widely and is key to measuring Alpine Energy Limited’s return on investment and therefore important when monitoring financial performance or setting electricity distribution prices.</p> <p>The RAB inputs, as set out in the IM Determination, are similar to those used in the measurement of fixed assets in the financial statements, however, there are a number of different requirements and</p>	<p>We have obtained an understanding of the compliance requirements relevant to the RAB as set out in the Determination and the IM Determination.</p> <p>Our procedures over the regulatory asset base included the following:</p> <p>Opening balance restatement</p> <p>We obtained the updated RAB roll-forward spreadsheets including the updated depreciation and revaluation calculations from 2014 onwards and performed the following procedures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We agreed the opening balance to the 2013 audited Disclosure Information; • We reperformed the depreciation calculation applying the relevant requirements for each regulatory period;



Key Assurance Matter	How our procedures addressed the key assurance matter
<p>complexities which require careful consideration.</p> <p>As described in Box 15 of Schedule 14, in preparing the 2023 Disclosure Information, the Company identified material errors within the RAB in relation to errors in the historic depreciation calculation from 2014 onwards which resulted in some older assets continuing to depreciate after the remaining useful life reached nil. This also indirectly impacts on future depreciation and the annual revaluation adjustment.</p> <p>In line with clause 2.12.1 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 (consolidated 6 July 2023) (the Current Determination), the Company has restated the 2022 Disclosure Information to correct the material prior period error including revising the indirectly affected data and statements.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● We reperformed the revaluation adjustments calculations for each of the regulatory periods using the corrected opening balances; ● We recalculated the remaining and total useful lives using the corrected data; and ● We recalculated the restated 2022 opening balances using the corrected RAB information from 2014 onwards. <p>Assets commissioned</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● We inspected the assets commissioned during the period, as per the regulatory fixed asset register, to identify any specific cost or asset type exclusions, as set out in the Determination, which are required to be removed from the RAB; ● We reconciled the assets commissioned, as per the regulatory fixed asset register, to the asset additions disclosed in the audited annual financial statements and investigated any material reconciling items; and ● We tested a sample of assets commissioned during the disclosure period for appropriate asset category classification. <p>Depreciation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● We compared the spreadsheet formula utilised to calculate regulatory depreciation expense with IM Determination clause 2.2.5; ● We compared the standard asset lives by asset category to those set out in the IM Determination; and ● We have performed a reasonableness test to ensure regulatory depreciation expense is calculated in line with IM Determination clause 2.2.5. <p>Revaluation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● We recalculated the revaluation rate set out in the IM Determination using the relevant Consumer Price Index indices taken from the Statistics New Zealand website; and ● We tested the mathematical accuracy of the revaluation calculation performed by management. <p>Disposals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● We reconciled the disposals, as per the regulatory fixed asset register, to the asset disposals disclosed in the audited annual financial statements and investigated any material reconciling items; and ● We inspected the asset disposals within the accounting fixed asset register to ensure disposals in the RAB meet the definition of a disposal per the IMs;



Key Assurance Matter	How our procedures addressed the key assurance matter
<p>Cost and Asset Allocation The Determination relates to information concerning the supply of electricity distribution services. In addition to the regulated supply of electricity, the company also supplies customers with other unregulated services such as metering services.</p> <p>As set out in schedules 5d, 5e, 5f and 5g, costs and asset values that relate to electricity distribution services regulated under the Determination should comprise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All of the costs directly attributable to the regulated goods or services; and • An allocated portion of the costs that are not directly attributable. <p>The IM Determination set out rules and processes for allocating costs and assets which are not directly attributable to either regulated or unregulated services. A number of screening tests apply which must be considered when deciding on the appropriate allocation method.</p> <p>The company has applied the Accounting-Based Allocation Approach Methodology (ABAA) utilising proxy cost and asset allocators to allocate the asset values and operating costs that are not directly attributable where causal relationships could not be identified.</p> <p>Given the judgement involved in the application of the cost and asset allocation methodologies we consider it a key assurance matter.</p>	<p>Prior period restatement disclosure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We considered the appropriateness of the prior period restatement disclosure in Box 15 in Schedule 14 and Appendix B: <i>Impact of restatements</i> against the requirements of clause 2.12.1 of the Current Determination. <p>We obtained an understanding of the company's cost and asset allocation processes and the methodologies applied.</p> <p>Our procedures over cost and asset allocation included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reconciling the regulated and unregulated financial information to the audited financial information. <p>Classification as directly/not directly attributable</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considering the appropriateness of the costs allocated as directly attributable, based on the nature and our understanding of the business to determine the reasonableness of the directly attributable classification. • Testing a sample of transactions to ensure their classification as either directly attributable or not directly attributable costs are appropriate and in line with the Determination. • Inspecting the fixed asset register to identify any asset classes which based on their nature and our understanding of the business could be considered assets directly attributable to a specific business unit. • Testing a sample of assets commissioned to ensure their classification as either directly attributable or not directly attributable are appropriate and in line with the Determination by inspecting the related invoice. <p>Appropriateness of the allocators used for not directly attributable costs and assets</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considering the appropriateness of the cost and asset proxy allocators used in applying the ABAA to not directly attributable costs including understanding the rationale for the change in proxy allocators in the current year, inspecting supporting documentation and recalculating proxy allocators. • Understanding why causal relationships could not be identified in allocating costs or assets and ensuring appropriate disclosure has been included outlining these in Schedule 14. • Recalculating the split between not directly attributable costs and asset values allocated to electricity distribution services and non-electricity distribution services.



Key Assurance Matter	How our procedures addressed the key assurance matter
<p>Related party transactions Disclosures over related party transactions including related party relationships, procurement policies/processes, application of these policies/processes and examples of market testing of transaction terms as required under the Determination and the IM Determination are set out in the Appendix.</p> <p>The Determination and the IM Determination require Alpine Energy Limited to value its transactions with related parties, disclosed in Schedule 5b, in accordance with the principles-based approach to the arm's length valuation rule. This rule states that the value of goods or services acquired from a related party cannot be greater than if it had been acquired under the terms of an arm's length transaction with an unrelated party, nor may it exceed the actual cost to the related party. A sale or supply to a related party cannot be valued at an amount less than if it had been sold or supplied under the terms of an arm's-length transaction with an unrelated party.</p> <p>Arm's-length valuation, as defined in the IM Determination, is the value at which a transaction, with the same terms and conditions, would be entered into between a willing seller and a willing buyer who are unrelated and who are acting independently of each other and pursuing their own best interests.</p> <p>Alpine Energy Limited is required to use an objective and independent measure to demonstrate compliance with the arm's-length principle. In the absence of an active market for similar transactions, assigning an objective arm's length value to a related party transaction is difficult and requires significant judgement.</p> <p>We have identified related party transactions at arm's-length as a key audit matter due to the judgement involved.</p>	<p>We have obtained an understanding of the compliance requirements relevant to related party transactions as set out in the Determination and the IM Determination. We have ensured Schedule 5(b) and the Appendix includes all required disclosures including current procurement policies, descriptions of how they are applied in practice, representative example transactions and when and how market testing was last performed.</p> <p>Our procedures over the related party transactions included the following:</p> <p>Completeness and accuracy of related party relationships and transactions</p> <p>We have tested the completeness and accuracy of the related party relationships and transactions by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agreeing the disclosures within Schedule 5(b) to the audited financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2022 and to the accounting records, investigating any material differences and determining whether any such differences are justified; and • Applying our understanding of the business structure against the related party definition in IM Determination clause 1.1.4(2)(b) to assess management's identification of any "unregulated parts" of the entity. <p>Practical application of procurement policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Testing a sample of operating expenditure and capital expenditure transactions disclosed in Schedule 5(b) by inspecting supporting documentation to determine compliance with the disclosed procurement policy and practices. <p>Arm's length valuation rule</p> <p>We obtained Alpine Energy Limited's assessment of available independent and objective measures used in supporting the arm's length valuation principal and performed the following procedures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Re-performed the calculations within Alpine Energy Limited's benchmarking assessment and agreed key inputs and assumptions to supporting documentation; • Where benchmarking or other market information was used as independent and objective measures, we assessed whether the related party transaction values fell within a reasonable range. Qualitative factors were considered in determining the appropriate acceptable range.



Directors' responsibilities

The Directors of the Company are responsible in accordance with the Determination for the preparation of the Disclosure Information and the Related Party Transaction Information.

In accordance with clauses 2.9.3 and 2.9.4 of the Current Determination, the Directors of the Company are responsible for ensuring the disclosed error has been corrected and subsequently correctly reflected in the revised Disclosure Information, including the indirectly affected data and statements within the Disclosure Information.

The Directors of the Company are also responsible for the identification of risks that may threaten compliance with the schedules and clauses identified above and controls which will mitigate those risks and monitor ongoing compliance.

Auditor's responsibilities

Our responsibilities in terms of clauses 2.8.1(1)(b)(vi) and (vii), 2.8.1(1)(c) and 2.8.1(1)(d) are to express an opinion on whether:

- as far as appears from an examination, the information used in the preparation of the audited Disclosure Information has been properly extracted from the Company's accounting and other records, sourced from its financial and non-financial systems;
- as far as appears from an examination, proper records to enable the complete and accurate compilation of the audited Disclosure Information required by the Determination have been kept by the Company and, if not, the records not so kept;
- the Company complied, in all material respects, with the Determination in preparing the audited Disclosure Information; and
- the Company's basis for valuation of related party transactions in the disclosure year has complied, in all material respects, with clause 2.3.6 of the Determination and clauses 2.2.11(1)(g) and 2.2.11(5) of the IM Determination.

Our responsibilities in terms of clauses 2.8.6 and 2.8.7 of the Current Determination are to:

- reissue an assurance report on the Disclosure Information disclosed under clause 2.12.1 of the Current Determination to the standard of the assurance requirements under the Determination; and
- in reissuing the assurance report, consider whether the disclosed error has been corrected and subsequently correctly reflected in the revised indirectly affected data and statements within the Disclosure Information.

To meet these responsibilities, we planned and performed procedures in accordance with SAE 3100 (Revised), to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Company has complied, in all material respects, with the Disclosure Information (which includes the Related Party Transaction Information) required to be audited by the Determination.

An assurance engagement to report on the Company's compliance with the Determination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the compliance activity and controls implemented to meet the requirements. The procedures selected depend on our judgement, including the identification and assessment of the risks of material non-compliance with the requirements.

Inherent limitations

Because of the inherent limitations of an assurance engagement, together with the internal control structure, it is possible that fraud, error or non-compliance with the Determination may occur and not be detected. A reasonable assurance engagement throughout the disclosure year does not provide assurance on whether compliance with the Determination will continue in the future.

Restricted use

This report has been prepared for use by the Directors of the Company and the Commerce Commission in accordance with clause 2.8.1(1)(a) of the Determination and is provided solely for the purpose of establishing whether the compliance requirements have been met. We disclaim any assumption of responsibility for any reliance on this report to any person other than the Directors of the



Company and the Commerce Commission, or for any other purpose than that for which it was prepared.

Independence and quality control

We complied with the Auditor-General's:

- independence and other ethical requirements, which incorporate the independence and ethical requirements of Professional and Ethical Standard 1 issued by the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board; and
- quality control requirements, which incorporate the quality control requirements of Professional and Ethical Standard 3 (Amended) issued by the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

For the year ended 31 March 2023 and subsequently, a Director of the Company is a member of the Auditor-General's Audit and Risk Committee. The Auditor-General's Audit and Risk Committee is regulated by a Charter that specifies that it should not assume any management functions. There are appropriate safeguards in place to reduce any threat to auditor independence, as the member of the Auditor-General's Audit and Risk Committee has no involvement in, or influence over audits and assurance engagements provided to the Company.

The Auditor-General, and his employees, and PricewaterhouseCoopers and its partners and employees may deal with the Company on normal terms within the ordinary course of trading activities of the Company. Other than any dealings on normal terms within the ordinary course of trading activities of the Company, assurance services performed within our role as auditor for the Company on the annual financial statements and performance information and regulatory compliance engagements under the requirements of the Commerce Act 1986, regulatory advisory services, and provision of a benchmark publication, we have no relationship with, or interests in, the Company.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Adri Smit', is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized and includes a large, sweeping loop that extends downwards and to the left.

Elizabeth Adriana (Adri) Smit
PricewaterhouseCoopers
On behalf of the Auditor-General
Christchurch, New Zealand
30 November 2023